





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/488,129	09/488,129 01/20/2000		Patrick W. Mullen	1571.1144001	3992	
21005	7590	08/05/2003				
HAMILTO	N, BRO	OK, SMITH & RE	EXAMINER			
530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133				CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN		
CONCORD		742-9133		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				1772	9.1	
				DATE MAILED: 08/05/2003	0(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A S-E					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/488,129	MULLEN ET AL.					
•	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alicia Chevalier	1772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 25 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		idered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>1-6,11-15,18,22-27,29-33 and 44-49</u> . Claim(s) rejected:							
					Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 34-43.		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:							
Patent and Trademark Office							

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Newly Amended claims 1, 25, 32 and 44 raise(s) new issues requiring a novel search and further consideration because it now recites "light incident on the optical coating being retroreflected without passing through the substantially rigid material" - claim 1, "retroreflecting light that does not pass through the sheeting" - claim 25, "that retroreflects incident light thereon such that retroreflected light does not pass through the structure" - claim 32 and "the coating retroreflecting light incident thereon such that light does not pass through the chips" - claim 44.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are drawn to a proposed claim amendment which is not being entered; thus, the arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims.

NASSER AHMAD PRIMARY EXAMINER

Q(1 0